

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Anita Gonzalez [rann23@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 1:58 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Anita Gonzalez  
12059 Clovis Drive  
Klamath Falls, OR 97603

October 15, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anita Gonzalez

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Anita Gonzalez [rann23@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 1:58 PM  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Anita Gonzalez  
12059 Clovis Drive  
Klamath Falls, OR 97603

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps  
,

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anita Gonzalez

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Anita Gonzalez [rann23@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 1:58 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Anita Gonzalez  
12059 Clovis Drive  
Klamath Falls, OR 97603

October 15, 2004

Michael K Powell

,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anita Gonzalez

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Anne Nelson [annenelson@integrity.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 8:53 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Anne Nelson  
127 E Garfield  
Tempe, AZ 85281

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anne Nelson  
480-947-1386

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Anne Nelson [annenelson@integrity.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 8:53 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Anne Nelson  
127 E Garfield  
Tempe, AZ 85281

October 15, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anne Nelson  
480-947-1386

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Anne Nelson [annenelson@integrity.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 8:53 PM  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Anne Nelson  
127 E Garfield  
Tempe, AZ 85281

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anne Nelson  
480-947-1386

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Anne Nelson [annenelson@integrity.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 8:53 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Anne Nelson  
127 E Garfield  
Tempe, AZ 85281

October 15, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anne Nelson  
480-947-1386

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Anne Nelson [annenelson@integrity.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 8:53 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Anne Nelson  
127 E Garfield  
Tempe, AZ 85281

October 15, 2004

Michael K Powell

,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anne Nelson  
480-947-1386



**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Annis Hughes [annis\_hughes@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 12:03 PM  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Annis Hughes  
Ms.  
none  
616 West Elk  
Dexter, Mo. 63841

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps  
,

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Annis Hughes  
573-624-4451  
Ms.  
none

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** David Lane [dblane@cox.net]  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 16, 2004 4:51 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

David Lane  
1241 SW 43rd  
Oklahoma City, Ok 73109

October 16, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

David Lane

**Stephanie Kost**

**From:** David Lane [dblane@cox.net]  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 16, 2004 4:51 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

David Lane  
1241 SW 43rd  
Oklahoma City, Ok 73109

October 16, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

David Lane

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** David Lane [dblane@cox.net]  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 16, 2004 4:51 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

David Lane  
1241 SW 43rd  
Oklahoma City, Ok 73109

October 16, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

David Lane

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** David Mcgar [Chayte@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 9:59 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

David Mcgar  
Tax payer  
Go9ds chidren  
311 Barnett Blvd.  
Madisonville, Kentucky 42431

October 13, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely, A tax paying family

David A. Mcgar  
270-821-7884  
Tax payer  
Go9ds chidren



## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** David Mcgar [Chayte@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 9:59 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

David Mcgar  
Tax payer  
Go9ds chidren  
311 Barnett Blvd.  
Madisonville, Kentucky 42431

October 13, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely, A tax paying family

David A. Mcgar  
270-821-7884  
Tax payer  
Go9ds chidren

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** David Mcgar [Chayte@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 9:59 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

David Mcgar  
Tax payer  
Go9ds chidren  
311 Barnett Blvd.  
Madisonville, Kentucky 42431

October 13, 2004

Kevin J Martin  
,

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely, A tax paying family

David A. Mcgar  
270-821-7884  
Tax payer  
Go9ds chidren



## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** David Mcgar [Chayte@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 9:59 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

David Mcgar  
Tax payer  
Go9ds chidren  
311 Barnett Blvd.  
Madisonville, Kentucky 42431

October 13, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely, A tax paying family

David A. Mcgar  
270-821-7884  
Tax payer  
Go9ds chidren

**Stephanie Kost**

**From:** David Mcgar [Chayte@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 9:59 PM  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

David Mcgar  
Tax payer  
Go9ds chidren  
311 Barnett Blvd.  
Madisonville, Kentucky 42431

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely, A tax paying family

David A. Mcgar  
270-821-7884  
Tax payer  
Go9ds chidren

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** David Pate [pated21@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:02 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

David Pate  
99 HWY 310  
Enola, AR 72047

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

David Pate

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** David Pate [pated21@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:02 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

David Pate  
99 HWY 310  
Enola, AR 72047

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

David Pate

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** David Pate [pated21@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:02 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

David Pate  
99 HWY 310  
Enola, AR 72047

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

David Pate

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** David Pate [pated21@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:02 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

David Pate  
99 HWY 310  
Enola, AR 72047

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

David Pate

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** David Puckett [dap0422@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:24 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

David Puckett  
1004 South Rock St.  
Sheridan, AR 72150

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

David A. Puckett

**Stephanie Kost**

**From:** David Puckett [dap0422@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:24 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

David Puckett  
1004 South Rock St.  
Sheridan, AR 72150

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

David A. Puckett



**Stephanie Kost**

**From:** David Puckett [dap0422@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:24 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

David Puckett  
1004 South Rock St.  
Sheridan, AR 72150

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

David A. Puckett

**Stephanie Kost**

**From:** David Puckett [dap0422@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:24 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

David Puckett  
1004 South Rock St.  
Sheridan, AR 72150

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

David A. Puckett

**Stephanie Kost**

**From:** Davy77boy@aol.com  
**Sent:** Friday, September 17, 2004 2:22 PM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** A La Carte Cable Regulation

Dear Jonathan Adelstein, I want to let you know that I oppose "A La Carte Cable Regulation." Our forefathers would be rolling over in their graves if they knew how badly the USA has cut out many ties to Religion and the Bible. Many of our first Presidents and Congressmen were Christians, and it saddens me to think The U.S. Congress and the Federal Communications Commission are trying to severely hinder the preaching of the gospel through cable television. Have a nice day and Thanks for your time.

David Hansen

**Stephanie Kost**

**From:** Dawn Rosser [coupmom@cox-internet.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:00 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Dawn Rosser  
110 Wheat Circle  
Scott, LA 70583

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Dawn Rosser  
337-232-8984

**Stephanie Kost**

**From:** Dawn Rosser [ccupmom@cox-internet.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:00 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Dawn Rosser  
110 Wheat Circle  
Scott, LA 70583

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Dawn Rosser  
337-232-8984

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Dawn Rosser [coupmom@cox-internet.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:00 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Dawn Rosser  
110 Wheat Circle  
Scott, LA 70583

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Dawn Rosser  
337-232-8984